

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/714,367	Applicant(s) CRAW ET AL.	
	Examiner Jason M. Han	Art Unit 2875	

All Participants:

(1) Carlo M. Cotrone.

(2) Jason M. Han.

Status of Application: 71 (response to non-final)

(3) S.P.E. Sanrda O'Shea.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2006

Time: 4:15 PM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, 32, 39, 40, 41

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend the Independent Claims to incorporate a reference point, "a top", so as to further define the "sidewall" of the main housing. Applicant further agreed to amend the claims to define a "fan unit" being disposed onto the sidewall, so as to render patentability over the prior art..